JOHN CARROLL UNIVERSITY PROVOST'S COUNCIL

Jeanne Colleran, Sherri Crahen, Barbara D'Ambrosia, Roy Day, Kathleen Dean, Linda Koch, Jim Krukones, Anne Kugler, Graciela Lacueva, Mark McCarthy, Michelle Millet, Terry Mills, Martha Mondello Hendren, Maryclaire Moroney, Mike Nichols, Alissa Nutting, Ed Peck, Karen Schuele, Brian Williams, David Wong.

December 19, 2014 Minutes

Present: S. Crahen, B. D'Ambrosia, K. Dean, L. Koch, J. Krukones, A. Kugler, G. Lacueva, J. Martin, M. McCarthy, M. Mondello Hendren, M. Moroney, M. Nichols, A. Nutting, E. Peck, B. Williams.

E. Peck will chair today's meeting in J. Colleran's absence. The Minutes of the December 3 meeting were approved as submitted.

E. Peck thanked the committee members for agreeing to this meeting during an especially busy time of the semester. He noted the sub-committee met four times between the last Provost's Council meeting and today, and that it was important to be able to get the full committee's feedback and approval of where we are in the process, before the document is shared at the January Mission Town Hall forum.

As an overview, E. Peck reported that he and the sub-committee are positive about the current draft, noting it aligns with existing goals and is reflective of our mission, vision, and core values. The statements are concise, memorable and measurable, while reflective of our distinctiveness as a Catholic, Jesuit University. The draft is a blending of co-curricular goals and academic goals, and conveys an aspirational nature. He noted that they tried to order the goals developmentally, from understanding to action.

Discussion ensued regarding the individual goals, and mainly revolved around word-smithing. For Goal #1, it was suggested that the term "major field(s)" be changed to "programs of study." It was explained that Goal #2 dealt with students' own personal development in relation to how they respect others. Discussion of Goal #3 centered on an internal vs. external audience perspective, being "informed by faith" as opposed to having a personal belief system, and whether the term "faith" was used appropriately. It was further explained that the term "leadership" was intended to recognize students "acting" as a leader as opposed to holding a leadership position.

E. Peck noted that he will make the suggested changes and circulate the draft to the Provost's Council again. He asked that members provide feedback on any remaining issues. He noted that the committee members will need to understand the document in order to explain it to a wider audience. It was strongly suggested that it be made clear to the community that this was a "draft" document, asking others to join the conversation.

E. Peck requested Provost's Council members be facilitators at tables for discussion on the draft at the Mission Town Hall forum, noting that this would be a good opportunity to share with the community what the Council does and how it collaborates.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Barbara Lovequist